# Introduction to querying with RPQs semantics in theory and practice 

Victor MARSAULT

Université Gustave-Eiffel, CNRS, LIGM

Séminaire Automates, IRIF

2023-09-22

## Introduction

## General setting



## General setting

- DBMS = DataBase Management System



## General setting

- DBMS = DataBase Management System


```
Query
```

Answer

- DM = Data Model = "The way data is structured"
- Relational ? XML ? Property graph ? RDF ? etc.


## General setting

- DBMS = DataBase Management System
- Query language
. "What can users ask for?"


Answer

- DM = Data Model = "The way data is structured"
- Relational ? XML ? Property graph ? RDF ? etc.


## General setting

- DBMS = DataBase Management System
- Query language
- "What can users ask for?"

- Semantics of query
- "What does the query mean?"
- $\mathrm{DM}=$ Data Model $=$ "The way data is structured"

- Relational ? XML ? Property graph ? RDF ? etc.


## Vast majority of DMBS's are relational, not graph



Figure and data from db-engines.com, August 2023

## Graph DBMS is growing in popularity



Figure and data from db-engines.com, August 2023

# Relational DM $=$ tables with cross-references 

Example: DB for a small store

## Client table

| name | address |
| :---: | :---: |
| Alice | Wonderland |
| Bob | 124 Conch St. |
| Charlie | 1593 Broadway |

Product table

| name | price |
| :---: | :---: |
| Sponge | $1 €$ |
| Broom | $5 €$ |
| Rabbit | $0 €$ |
| Pocket Watch | $100 €$ |
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## Relational $\mathrm{DM}=$ tables with cross-references

Example: DB for a small store

## Client table

| name | address |
| :---: | :---: |
| Alice | Wonderland |
| Bob | 124 Conch St. |
| Charlie | 1593 Broadway |
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| id | buyer | date |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
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| Broom | $5 €$ |
| Rabbit | $0 €$ |
| Pocket Watch | $100 €$ |

## Order-content table
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## Why use graphs ?

Some data have intrinsically the structure of graphs (e.g. networks)


## Why not store graphs in tables?
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| id | source_id | target_id | Road | Ferry | City | length | max_speed |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $e_{01}$ | 0 | 1 | true | false | false | 10 |  |
| $e_{12}$ | 1 | 2 | true | false | true | 10 | 40 |
| $e_{24}$ | 2 | 4 | true | false | true |  |  |
| $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ |

## Why not store graphs in tables?



| id | source_id | target_id | Road | Ferry | City | length | max_speed |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $e_{01}$ | 0 | 1 | true | false | false | 10 |  |  |
| $e_{12}$ | 1 | 2 | true | false | true | 10 | 40 |  |
| $e_{24}$ | 2 | 4 | true | false | true |  |  |  |
| $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ |  |

## Why not store graphs in tables?

$\rightarrow$ Model restriction allows navigational algorithms


| id | source_id | target_id | Road | Ferry | City | length | max_speed |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $e_{01}$ | 0 | 1 | true | false | false | 10 |  |
| $e_{12}$ | 1 | 2 | true | false | true | 10 | 40 |
| $e_{24}$ | 2 | 4 | true | false | true |  |  |
| $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ |

History of query languages for property graphs

## From RPQs to GQL: history and actors

1987 - RPQs are invented [Cruz-Mendelzon-Wood 1987]


## From RPQs to GQL: history and actors

Since 1990's - RPQs are extended and studied in academia


## From RPQs to GQL: history and actors

2011 - Cypher is designed by Neo4j


# From RPQs to GQL: history and actors 
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## From RPQs to GQL: history and actors

mid 2010's - Other languages/DBMS are released


## From RPQs to GQL: history and actors

late 2010's - Merge all existing languages ?


## From RPQs to GQL: history and actors

## 2019-2021 - Two ISO projects: GQL and SQL/PGQ



## From RPQs to GQL: history and actors

## 2024 (expected) - Publication of version 1 of GQL



## Foundation of querying graph databases: RPQs

## RPQs operates on labeled graphs

A graph consists of
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- Edges (or Relationships)
- Edge labels: $\{$ R, F, G, S, E $\}$
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## RPQs operates on labeled graphs

A graph consists of

- Vertices (or Nodes)
- Edges (or Relationships)
- Edge labels: $\{$ R, F, G, S, E $\}$


## Walk

- a.k.a. path
- Sequence of edges
- May reuse vertices and edges

- Is labeled by a word
$0 \rightarrow 1 \rightarrow 2 \rightarrow 4 \rightarrow 4 \rightarrow 1 \rightarrow 2 \rightarrow 3$
is labeled by RRRGRRR


## $R P Q=$ Regular expression

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{Q}::= & \mathbf{A} \\
& \mathcal{Q} \mathcal{Q} \\
& \mathcal{Q}+\mathcal{Q} \\
& \mathcal{Q}^{*}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\mathbf{A}$ is a label in the graph.
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\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{Q}::= & \mathbf{A} \\
& \mathcal{Q} \mathcal{Q} \\
& \mathcal{Q}+\mathcal{Q} \\
& \mathcal{Q}^{*}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\mathbf{A}$ is a label in the graph.

## Matches

A match for $\mathcal{Q}$ is any walk $w$ such that $\mathcal{Q}$ denotes the label of $w$

## First example

${\underset{\sim}{\ldots I N}}^{\underline{1}}$
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## The queries $\mathcal{Q}_{1}$ and $\mathcal{Q}_{2}$

11 "11"会
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## $\mathcal{Q}_{1}=\mathbf{S}(\mathbf{R}+\mathbf{F})^{*} \mathbf{E}$

$\mathcal{Q}_{1}$ matches...

- The ferry
- The direct road
- Roads with laps in the circuit

$$
\mathcal{Q}_{2}=\mathbf{S}(\mathbf{R}+\mathbf{F})^{*} \mathbf{G}(\mathbf{R}+\mathbf{F})^{*} \mathbf{E}
$$


$\mathcal{Q}_{2}$ matches...

- Roads with laps in the circuit


## Fundamental challenge with RPQs


(4) Infinitely many matches but finite answer (!)

## Semantics of RPQs

## Homomorphism semantics

Main theoretical semantics [Angles et al. 2017], used in SparQL

## Definition

- Returns the endpoints of matches



## Homomorphism semantics

Main theoretical semantics [Angles et al. 2017], used in SparQL

## Definition

- Returns the endpoints of matches

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{Q}_{1}=\mathbf{S}(\mathbf{R}+\mathbf{F})^{*} \mathbf{E} \\
& \mathcal{Q}_{2}=\mathbf{S}(\mathbf{R}+\mathbf{F})^{*} \mathbf{G}(\mathbf{R}+\mathbf{F})^{*} \mathbf{E}
\end{aligned}
$$



- All matches are of the form:
$0 \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow 3$
$\Rightarrow \mathcal{Q}_{1}$ and $\mathcal{Q}_{2}$ return $\{(0,3)\}$


# Homomorphism semantics (2) 

Pros and cons

## Pros

- Efficient algorithms
- Well grounded theory


## Homomorphism semantics (2)

Pros and cons

## Pros

- Efficient algorithms
- Well grounded theory


## Cons

- Very limited information in the answer
- User: "I want to go from LIGM to IRIF by public transportation"
- Database: "Yes you can"


## Shortest-walk semantics

Used in PGQL (Oracle), GSQL (TigerGraph) and G-core [Angles et al. 2018]

## Definition

- Return the walk with the least number of edges
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## Shortest-walk semantics

Used in PGQL (Oracle), GSQL (TigerGraph) and G-core [Angles et al. 2018]

## Definition

- Return the walk with the least number of edges

$$
\mathcal{Q}_{1}=\mathbf{S}(\mathbf{R}+\mathbf{F})^{*} \mathbf{E}
$$

- $\mathcal{Q}_{1}$ returns 1 walk
- the ferry
- Walks taking the road have more edges

$\mathcal{Q}_{2}=\mathbf{S}(\mathbf{R}+\mathbf{F})^{*} \mathbf{G}(\mathbf{R}+\mathbf{F})^{*} \mathbf{E}$
- $\mathcal{Q}_{1}$ returns 1 walk
- the one-lap road
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## Trail semantics

Used in Cypher (Neo4j) [Francis et al. 2018]

## Definition

- Return walks
- Forbid to repeat edges

$$
\mathcal{Q}_{1}=\mathbf{S}(\mathbf{R}+\mathbf{F})^{*} \mathbf{E}
$$

- $\mathcal{Q}_{1}$ returns 2 walks
- the ferry
- the straight road
- Walks with circuit laps repeat the middle edge


$$
\mathcal{Q}_{2}=\mathbf{S}(\mathbf{R}+\mathbf{F})^{*} \mathbf{G}(\mathbf{R}+\mathbf{F})^{*} \mathbf{E}
$$

- $\mathcal{Q}_{2}$ returns nothing


## Comparing trail and shortest-walk semantics

## Shortest-walk Trail

| Existence | ■ Tract. | ■ Untract. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Enumeration | - Tract. | ■ Untract. |
| Distinct Enum | ■ Tract. | ■ Untract. |
| Membership | ■ Tract. | ■ Tract. |

$$
\mathcal{Q}_{1}=\mathbf{S}(\mathbf{R}+\mathbf{F})^{*} \mathbf{E}
$$

Shortest-walk semantics

- outputs the Ferry-walk only
- computes the "best" answer

- computes "nonstupid" answers
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Possibilities in the match space that are in the output
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## Trail semantics provide "bad" coverage

## Fact

Trail sometimes discard walks that seem "nonstupid"
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## Two little problems

- Define "coverage"
- Define "good" coverage


## Comparing trail and shortest-walk semantics

## Shortest-walk Trail

| Existence | ■ Tract. | ■ Untract. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Enumeration | ■ Tract. | ■ Untract. |
| Distinct Enum | ■ Tract. | ■ Untract. |
| Membership | ■ Tract. | ■ Tract. |
| Counting | ■ Meaningless | ■ Untract. |

Run-based semantics

## Binding-trail semantics (1)

[David-Francis-Marsault 2023]

## Definition

- Returns walks
- Each edge may use each atom of $Q$ at most once
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## Binding-trail semantics (1)

[David-Francis-Marsault 2023]

## Definition

- Returns walks
- Each edge may use each atom of $Q$ at most once

$$
\mathcal{Q}_{1}=\mathbf{S}(\mathbf{R}+\mathbf{F})^{*} \mathbf{E}
$$

- Returns
- the ferry
- the straight road
- In walks with circuit laps
$\Longrightarrow$ the middle edge reuses $\mathbf{R}$
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## Binding-trail semantics (2)

## Definition

- Returns walks
- Each edge may use each atom of $Q$ at most once

$$
\mathcal{Q}_{2}=\mathbf{S}(\mathbf{R}+\mathbf{F})^{*} \mathbf{G}(\mathbf{R}+\mathbf{F})^{*} \mathbf{E}
$$

- Returns the walk with one circuit lap
- Before G $\rightarrow$ use the left R
- After $\mathbf{G} \rightarrow$ use the right $\mathbf{R}$



## Binding-trail semantics (2)

## Definition

- Returns walks
- Each edge may use each atom of $Q$ at most once
$\mathcal{Q}_{2}=\mathbf{S}(\mathbf{R}+\mathbf{F})^{*} \mathbf{G}(\mathbf{R}+\mathbf{F})^{*} \mathbf{E}$
- Returns the walk with one circuit lap
- Before G $\rightarrow$ use the left $\mathbf{R}$
- After G $\rightarrow$ use the right $\mathbf{R}$
- In walks with $2+$ circuit laps
$\Longrightarrow$ the middle edge reuses the left $\mathbf{R}$ or the right $\mathbf{R}$



## Binding trail provides some coverage guarantee

Lemma

$\forall$ match $w$ of $Q$
$\Longrightarrow$ some subwalk sw returned
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- The Ferry-walk is returned
- The straight road is returned
- Walks with $\geq 1$ laps are "covered" by the later


## Binding trail provides some coverage guarantee

$$
\mathcal{Q}_{1}=\mathbf{S}(\mathbf{R}+\mathbf{F})^{*} \mathbf{E}
$$

- The Ferry-walk is returned
- The straight road is returned
- Walks with $\geq 1$ laps are "covered" by the later


## Lemma


$\forall$ match $w$ of $Q$
$\Longrightarrow$ some subwalk sw returned


$$
\mathcal{Q}_{2}=\mathbf{S}(\mathbf{R}+\mathbf{F})^{*} \mathbf{G}(\mathbf{R}+\mathbf{F})^{*} \mathbf{E}
$$

- The 1-lap walk is returned
- Walks with $\geq 2$ laps are "covered" by the later


## Binding-trail is syntax-dependent

The output depends on the syntax of the query

## R*

- allows no lap in the circuit


## R* ${ }^{*}$

- allows 1 lap in the circuit


## $(\mathbf{R}+\mathbf{R})^{*}$

- allows 1 lap in the circuit
- In general, $\neq \mathbf{R}^{*} \mathbf{R}^{*}$


## Binding-trail is syntax-dependent

The output depends on the syntax of the query

## R*

- allows no lap in the circuit


## $\mathbf{R}^{*} \mathbf{R}^{*}$

- allows 1 lap in the circuit


## $(\mathbf{R}+\mathbf{R})^{*}$

- allows 1 lap in the circuit
- In general, $\neq \mathbf{R}^{*} \mathbf{R}^{*}$

- Unusual from theoretical point of view
- The user has finer control on the output
- This kind of syntax quirks exists in practice


## Comparing binding-trail to prior semantics

Shortest-walk Trail Run-based

- Tract.
- Tract.
- Tract.
- Tract.
- Meaningless
- None
- Untract.
- Untract.
- Untract.
- Tract.
- Untract.
- Some, with no guarantee
- Some, with some guarantee
- Syntax-depend.

Property graphs and real query languages

## Back to our example property graph



Vertices and edges may bear:

- zero or more labels
- zero or more properties
- Property = key-value pair
- Key = string
- Value = bool, int, str, ...


## Cypher features

## Cypher features

- Trail semantics
- Restricted RPQs (in fact UC2RPQs) with the following restrictions:
- Under a Kleene star, only unions of atoms are allowed
- ASCII-art syntax
- Cypher is graph-to-tables
- Chaining of clauses
- Vertices: MATCH (:Gas)
- Vertices: MATCH (:Gas) MATCH (\{tag:"Start"\})


## ASCII-art syntax

- Vertices: MATCH (:Gas) MATCH (\{tag:"Start"\})
- Edges: MATCH -[:Road]->
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## ASCII-art syntax

- Vertices: MATCH (:Gas) MATCH (\{tag:"Start"\})
- Edges: MATCH -[:Road]->
- Concatenation: MATCH ()-[:Road]->(:Gas)-[:Road]->()
- Disjunction: MATCH ()-[:Road|Ferry]->()
- Kleene star: MATCH ()-[:Road*]->()
- Variables: MATCH ()-[:Road]->(x)-[:Road]->()
- Vertices: MATCH (:Gas) MATCH (\{tag:"Start"\})
- Edges: MATCH -[:Road]->
- Concatenation: MATCH ()-[:Road]->(:Gas)-[:Road]->()
- Disjunction: MATCH ()-[:Road|Ferry]->()
- Kleene star: MATCH ()-[:Road*]->()
- Variables: MATCH ()-[:Road]->(x)-[:Road]->()


## Cypher queries for $\mathcal{Q}_{1}$ and $\mathcal{Q}_{2}$

MATCH (\{tag:"Start"\})-[:Road|Ferry*]->(\{tag:"End"\})
MATCH (\{tag:"Start"\})-[:Road|Ferry*]->
(:Gas)-[:Road|Ferry*]-> (\{tag:"End"\})

## Cypher returns a table...

4


## Query

MATCH (s)-[:City]->(t)

## Result

| $s$ | t |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 2 |
| 2 | 4 |
| 4 | 1 |
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## Query

MATCH (s)-[:City]->(t)

## Result

| $s$ | t |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 2 |
| 2 | 4 |
| 4 | 1 |

## Cypher returns a table... but computes walks



## Query $\mathcal{Q}_{1}$

## MATCH

(s \{tag:"Start"\})
-[:Road|Ferry*]->
(t \{tag:"End"\})

## Result

| $s$ | $t$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 3 |
| 0 | 3 |

## Cypher returns a table... but computes walks



## Query $\mathcal{Q}_{1}$

## MATCH

(s \{tag:"Start"\})
-[:Road|Ferry*]->
(t \{tag:"End"\})

## Result

| s | t |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 0 | 3 | $\leftarrow$ The ferry |
| 0 | 3 | $\leftarrow$ The direct road |

## Other Cypher constructs

- WHERE: filter rows
- WITH or RETURN:
- add/rename columns
- horizontal aggregation (e.g. with keyword reduce)
- vertical aggregation (e.g. with keyword count, max)
- CREATE/DELETE/SET: update the property graph


## A Cypher query actually chain clauses

Property
Graph
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## Example

- Clause 1 makes some pattern matching
- Clause 2 aggregates over the result of Clause 1
$\Rightarrow$ Trail semantics (rich post-processing at the cost of efficiency)

GQL, standard query language for property graphs
[Deutsch et al. 2022][Francis et al. 2023]
[Deutsch et al. 2022][Francis et al. 2023]

## Features inherited from Cypher

- ASCII-art syntax
- Graph-to-tables
- Chaining of clauses
- Compute walks

An RPQ may have infinitely many matches

- GQL has to ensure finiteness of answer
- No solution is clearly superior

An RPQ may have infinitely many matches

- GQL has to ensure finiteness of answer
- No solution is clearly superior

GQL does not choose

- Trail semantics $\rightarrow$ keyword TRAIL
- Shortest-walk semantics $\rightarrow$ keyword SHORTEST
- Syntax restriction $\rightarrow$ keyword WALK
- Mixing semantics
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## The dream

- Add run-based semantics to GQL 2.0


Thank you for your attention!
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MATCH TRAIL (a WHERE a.tag="Start")

$$
[-[\underline{r}: \text { Road }]->\mid-[\underline{c}: \text { City }]->] * \text { (b WHERE b.tag="End") }
$$

## GQL path-bindings in one slide



MATCH TRAIL (a WHERE a.tag="Start")

$$
[-[\underline{r}: \text { Road }]->\mid-[\underline{c}: \text { City }]->] *(b \text { WHERE b.tag="End") }
$$

| 0 | $\rightarrow$ | 1 | $\rightarrow$ | 2 | $\rightarrow$ | 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $a$ | $r$ |  | $r$ |  | $r$ | $b$ |


| 0 | $\rightarrow$ | 1 | $\rightarrow$ | 2 | $\rightarrow$ | 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $a$ | $r$ |  | $c$ |  | $r$ | $b$ |

